Multi-view People Detection in Large Scenes via Supervised View-wise Contribution Weighting

Qi Zhang¹, Yunfei Gong¹, Daijie Chen^{2,1}, Antoni B. Chan³, Hui Huang^{1*}

¹College of Computer Science and Software Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China ²Guangdong Laboratory of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Economy (SZ), China ³Department of Computer Science, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China qi.zhang.opt@gmail.com, gongyunfei2021@email.szu.edu.cn, chendaijie2022@email.szu.edu.cn, abchan@cityu.edu.hk, hhzhiyan@gmail.com

Abstract

Recent deep learning-based multi-view people detection (MVD) methods have shown promising results on existing datasets. However, current methods are mainly trained and evaluated on small, single scenes with a limited number of multi-view frames and fixed camera views. As a result, these methods may not be practical for detecting people in larger, more complex scenes with severe occlusions and camera calibration errors. This paper focuses on improving multi-view people detection by developing a supervised view-wise contribution weighting approach that better fuses multi-camera information under large scenes. Besides, a large synthetic dataset is adopted to enhance the model's generalization ability and enable more practical evaluation and comparison. The model's performance on new testing scenes is further improved with a simple domain adaptation technique. Experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach in achieving promising cross-scene multi-view people detection performance.

Introduction

Multi-view people detection (MVD) has been studied to detect people's locations on the ground of the scenes via synchronized and calibrated multi-cameras, which could be used for many different applications, such as public safety, autonomous driving, *etc.* Recent multi-view people detection methods are mainly based on deep learning, which train convolution neural networks (CNNs) with synchronized multi-view images as input and ground-plane occupancy map as output, and have achieved promising results on existing datasets, such as Wildtrack (Chavdarova et al. 2018) and MultiviewX (Hou, Zheng, and Gould 2020).

However, the current DNNs-based multi-view people detection methods are trained and evaluated only on single small scenes (see Figure 1) with limited numbers of frames and fixed camera views. These datasets are collected on small scenes with only hundreds of frames for training and testing and several fixed camera views (7 in Wildtrack and 6 in MultiviewX). In summary, the weaknesses of current methods are 3 folds: 1) *The methods are evaluated on small scenes* (about 20m * 20m), while real-world scenes could be



Figure 1: The scene area comparison of CVCS, CityStreet, Wildtrack and MultiviewX. The scene size of the latter two datasets is quite smaller than the first two.

much larger, with more severe occlusions and camera calibration errors; 2) *The methods are evaluated on datasets containing limited frames and fixed camera views* (*e.g.*, 360 for training and 40 for testing, and 7 views in Wildtrack dataset), which could not validate and compare different methods thoroughly; 3) *The methods cannot generalize to other scenes well* since they are trained on the same single scenes, and potentially overfitted on the specific camera arrangement, making them not generalized to novel scenes and camera layouts. These settings in current multi-view detection methods should be adjusted to better validate and compare different multi-view detection methods.

In this paper, we focus on the multi-view people detection task on large scenes (eg. CVCS and CityStreet, see Figure 1) with more occlusions and camera calibration errors, as well as the model's generalization ability to novel unseen scenes in testing. We propose the supervised view-wise contribution weighting method to fuse multi-camera information on the scene ground plane based on each view's prediction on the ground plane space. As shown in Figure 2, the proposed supervised view-wise contribution weighting MVD model

^{*}Corresponding author.

Copyright © 2024, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.



Figure 2: The pipeline of the proposed view-wise contribution weighting method, which consists of 4 stages: Single-view feature extraction and projection, Projected single-view decoding, Supervised view-wise contribution weighted fusion, and Multi-view feature decoding. First, camera view features are extracted from the shared feature extraction net, and then they are projected to the ground plane. Second, each view's projected feature F_i is fed into a decoder to predict the view's people location map V_i on the ground, and the loss is ℓ_v , whose ground-truth is obtained from the scene ground-truth V_s^{gt} . Third, each view's people location map W_i for multi-view fusion. And the predicted weight maps W_i are used to fuse multi-view features F_i in a weighted summation way. Finally, the fused multi-view feature F is decoded to predict the whole scene's people location map, and the loss is ℓ_s .

consists of 4 stages: Single-view feature extraction and projection, Projected single-view decoding, Supervised viewwise contribution weighted fusion, and Multi-view feature *decoding*. First, the features of each view are extracted and then projected to the ground plane in a shared subnet to handle possible different numbers of camera views. The projected single-view decoding subnet predicts each view's people location map contained by the view on the ground plane in a supervised way, which could be used as the contribution of the current view to the final result. And thus the predictions are further fed into a subnet and weighted across all camera views to obtain weight maps for multi-view fusion in the next step. Then the predicted weight maps are used to fuse multi-view features in a weighted summation way. Finally, the fused multi-view features are decoded to predict the whole scene's people location map.

Besides, in the experiments, instead of evaluating the multi-view people detection methods on small multi-view datasets, we adopt 2 large multi-view datasets, CitySteet (Zhang and Chan 2019) and CVCS (Zhang, Lin, and Chan 2021), for a more challenging and thorough method comparison and validation. Furthermore, a simple domain adaptation technique is also adopted to further improve the model's cross-scene performance on testing scenes. In summary, the main contributions of our paper are as follows.

- To our knowledge, this is the first study on large-scene multi-view people detection task with better generalization ability to novel unseen testing scenes with different camera layouts.
- We propose a new multi-view people detection method, which considers the supervised view-wise contribution weighting for better multi-view feature fusion.
- The proposed method's cross-scene multi-view people detection performance is promising compared to previ-

ous methods trained on the same single scenes, extending multi-view people detection to more practical scenarios.

Related Work

Multi-view people detection

Traditional methods. Multi-view people detection has been studied for dealing with heavy occlusions in crowded scenes. Usually, information from synchronized and calibrated multi-camera views is combined to provide predictions for the whole scene. Early detection methods try to detect each person in the images by extracting hand-crafted features (Viola and Jones 2004; Sabzmeydani and Mori 2007; Wu and Nevatia 2007) and then training a classifier (Joachims 1998; Viola, Jones, and Snow 2005; Gall et al. 2011) using the extracted features. Fleuret et al. (2007) proposed the Probabilistic Occupancy Map (POM) to indicate the probability of people appearing on the grid of the scene ground. Traditional methods rely on hand-crafted features and background subtraction preprocessing, which limit their performance and application scenarios.

Deep learning methods. With the development of geometric deep learning, recent learning-based MVD methods have achieved great progress. Chavdarova and Fleuret (2017) proposed to use CNNs for feature extraction and concatenate multi-view features to predict the occupancy map. However, the features from different camera views are not aligned before further fusion in the model, resulting in limited performance. Hou, Zheng, and Gould (2020) used camera calibrations to perform a perspective transformation to the ground for feature fusion and achieved state-of-the-art performance. Later work Song et al. (2021) improved the performance further by using multi-height projection with an attention-based soft selection module for different height projection fusion. Hou and Zheng (2021) adopted the deformable transformer framework (Zhu et al. 2020) and proposed a multi-head self-attention based multi-view fusion method. Qiu et al. (2022) proposed a data augmentation method by generating random 3D cylinder occlusions on the ground plane to relieve model overfitting.

Overall, the existing multi-view people detection methods are trained and evaluated on single small scenes with only hundreds of multi-view frames and several fixed camera views, such as in Wildtrack (Chavdarova et al. 2018) and MultiviewX (Hou, Zheng, and Gould 2020). This is not suitable for better validating and comparing different multiview people detection methods, not to mention for generalizing to novel new scenes with different camera layouts, or other more practical real-world application scenarios. Qiu et al. (2022) noticed the issue and tried to solve the problem from the aspect of data augmentation, but still evaluated the methods only on small scenes. Besides, in contrast to SHOT (Song et al. 2021) or MVDeTr (Hou and Zheng 2021) which uses self-attention weights, the proposed method estimates the view fusion weights in a supervised way without extra labeling efforts, resulting in more stable performance.

Other multi-view vision tasks

Multi-view counting. Multi-camera views can be combined to further improve the single-image counting (Cheng et al. 2019a; Huang et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2022; Cheng et al. 2022, 2019b) performance for large scenes. Similar to multi-view people detection, traditional multi-view counting methods also rely on hand-crafted features and background subtraction techniques (Viola and Jones 2004; Sabzmeydani and Mori 2007; Chan and Vasconcelos 2012; Chen et al. 2012; Paragios and Ramesh 2001; Marana et al. 1998; Lempitsky and Zisserman 2010; Pham et al. 2015; Wang and Zou 2016; Xu and Qiu 2016). These traditional methods' performance is limited by the weak feature representation power and the foreground/background extraction result. To deal with the issues of traditional methods, deep learning methods are explored in the area. Zhang and Chan (2019, 2022b) proposed the first end-to-end DNNsbased framework for multi-view crowd counting and a large city-scene multi-view vision dataset CityStreet. Zhang and Chan (2020, 2022a) proposed to solve the problem in 3D space with the 3D feature fusion and the 3D density map supervision. Zhang, Lin, and Chan (2021) proposed a large synthetic multi-view dataset CVCS to handle the cross-view cross-scene setting, and the method is applied to novel new scenes with domain transferring steps. Zheng, Li, and Mu (2021) improved the late fusion model (Zhang and Chan 2019) by introducing the correlation between each pair of views. Zhai et al. (2022) proposed a graph-based multi-view learning model for multi-view counting. Multi-view counting methods mainly focus on predicting crowd density maps on the ground and the people count but with relatively weak localization ability.

Multi-camera tracking. Multi-camera tracking can track the objects under multi-cameras to deal with occlusions or lighting variations (Iguernaissi et al. 2019). The existing methods can be categorized into centralized methods (overlapped) (Chavdarova et al. 2018; Fleuret et al. 2007; Xu et al. 2016; You and Jiang 2020) and distributed methods (non-overlapped) (Patino and Ferryman 2014; Taj and Cavallaro 2011; Yang et al. 2022). Here, we mainly review centralized methods with overlapping camera views. Centralized methods consist of 3 steps: camera view people detection/feature extraction, data fusion and tracking. You and Jiang (2020) followed the steps and proposed a real-time 3D multi-camera tracking by fusing 2D people location predictions on the ground plane and then tracking each person from the fused ground-plane maps. Nguyen et al. (2022) proposed to match the multi-camera trajectories by solving a global lifted multicut problem.

In summary, the model generalization ability has been explored in other multi-view vision tasks, such as using large synthetic datasets in training. But in the area of multi-view people detection, the methods are only evaluated on the same single scenes due to limited data, which reduces the model generalization potential under real-world application scenarios. And no methods have tried estimating the view weights for fusion with the guidance of single-view ground-plane ground-truth, requiring no extra labels.

Method

In this section, we describe the proposed supervised viewwise contribution weighting multi-view detection method, which consists of 4 stages (see Figure 2): *Single-view feature extraction and projection, Projected single-view decoding, Supervised view-wise contribution weighted fusion, and Multi-view feature decoding.* We first introduce the whole model's subnets and modules, where the details about the proposed supervised view-wise contribution weight module are presented. Finally, we describe how we generalize the trained model to novel new scenes.

Single-view feature extraction and projection

We choose ResNet (He et al. 2016)/VGG (Simonyan and Zisserman 2014) as the feature extraction backbone net for the multi-view people detection model. To handle the variable numbers of camera views in the training and testing scenes, the feature extraction subnet is shared across all input camera views. After feature extraction, each view's features are projected to the scene ground plane for further processing via a projection layer with camera calibrations based on spatial transformation network (Jaderberg et al. 2015). The projection layer implemented in our model could be used with variable camera parameters instead of a fixed set of ones to handle camera view number change across different scenes.

Projected single-view decoding

We use a subnet to obtain each view's people location prediction on the ground plane based on the projected singlecamera view features, which is shared across all input camera views to handle the possible variable camera views. The supervision for the decoding subnet training is the scene location map consisting of people that can be seen within the corresponding camera view. Since the decoding result only contains people that can be seen in the field-of-view of each camera (as shown in Figure 3), the prediction can be used



Figure 3: 'View GT' is the ground-truth for each view in projected single-view decoding, which is the people occupancy map on the ground that can be seen by the corresponding view, and 'Scene GT' stands for the ground-truth for the whole scene of CityStreet. The lines in the 'View GT' indicate the field-of-view region of the camera view.

as the confidence of the view on the corresponding regions in the final result. So, we use the single-view ground-plane prediction results to fuse the multi-camera information in the next step. Besides, the projected single-view decoding module also provides an extra constraint on the training of the model for the feature extraction module. Thus, the feature extracted from the multi-view images should be effective in the single-view decoding after projection.

The projected single-view decoding loss ℓ_v can be calculated as follows. Denote n as the camera view number, i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 stands for the index of each view, and the prediction and ground truth for each view are V_i and V_i^{gt} , respectively.

$$\ell_v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \|V_i - V_i^{gt}\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \|V_i - V_s^{gt} \otimes M_i\|_2^2.$$
(1)

 $V_i^{gt} = V_s^{gt} \otimes M_i$ means each view's ground-truth in the projected single view is the scene-level ground-truth V_s^{gt} multiplied by the view's field-of-view mask M_i on the ground.

Supervised view-wise contribution weighted fusion

We propose the supervised view-wise contribution weighted fusion approach for fusing multi-camera information. First, each view's scene ground-plane prediction result V_i is fed in the shared subnet C to predict the weight map \hat{W}_i for each camera view. Then, the weight maps $\{\hat{W}_i\}$ for all views are normalized to make sure the sum of the weights for all camera views of one pixel on the scene ground-plane map equals to 1, denoted as W_i . In addition to that, the regions that cannot be seen by a camera view are assigned to 0 weight under that view. Especially in the normalization process, these regions' weights are not calculated in the final result. Therefore, the view-wise field-of-view mask M_i is multiplied with each camera view's initial weight map \hat{W}_i before the normalization. The process of the view-wise contribution weight maps can be calculated as follows.

$$\hat{W}_i = \mathcal{C}(V_i), W_i = \frac{\hat{W}_i \otimes M_i}{\sum_i \hat{W}_i \otimes M_i + \sigma}, \qquad (2)$$

where σ is a small value to avoid the zero denominator issue in the equation when a region pixel cannot be seen by any input camera views.



Figure 4: The domain adaptation approach used in our method for generalizing to novel new scenes.

After that, each camera view's projected features F_i are multiplied with the view-wise contribution weight maps W_i , and summed together to obtain the scene-level feature representation $F = \sum_i F_i \otimes W_i$. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work that uses the supervised view-wise contribution on the scene ground-plane map as a weighting method for fusing multi-camera view information in the field, which provides more guidance of the people contained in each view. Compared to other weighted methods, SHOT (Song et al. 2021) or MVDeTr (Hou and Zheng 2021), the proposed method is more stable on different datasets (see experiment section for more details).

Multi-view feature decoding

After obtaining the fused feature representation F for multicameras, F is fed in a decoder for predicting the scene-level prediction V_s of the people occupancy map on the ground. Note this decoder is different from the one used for projected single-view decoding because they are targeting different functions, one for decoding each camera view's features, and the other one for the whole scene's feature representation. The mse loss is also used in the multi-view feature decoding, denoted as $\ell_s = mse(V_s, V_s^{gt})$. And together with the projected single-view decoding loss ℓ_v , the model's loss ℓ can be summarised as $\ell = \ell_s + \lambda \ell_v$, where λ is used to adjust the two decoding losses' importance in the training.

Generalization to new scenes

Our proposed supervised view-wise contribution weighting method is trained on a large synthetic multi-view people dataset CVCS (Zhang, Lin, and Chan 2021), which can be applied to new scenes with promising results by slightly finetuning the model. To further reduce the large domain gap between the training scenes and testing new scenes, we also use a domain adaptation method to improve the performance (see Figure 4) after finetuning the trained model on the new scenes with limited labeled data. In particular, we add a discriminator in the trained model to reduce the gap between the training scene features and testing scene features. In the finetuning stage, we first trained the model by using 5% of the new scene training set images, and then both the training synthetic images and the testing new scene images are fed into the proposed model. Finally, both kinds of features are

Dataset	Frames	Scene	Resolution	Counts	Views	Area (m^2)
CVCS	200k/80k	23/8	$1920\!\times\!1080$	90-180	60-120	90×80
CityStreet	300/200	1	$2704\!\times\!1520$	70-150	3	58×72
Wildtrack	360/40	1	$1920\!\times\!1080$	20	7	12×36
MultiviewX	360/40	1	$1920\!\times\!1080$	40	6	16×25

Table 1: Comparison of multi-view people datasets. '/' s-tands for the training and testing statistics.

classified by the discriminator. The loss in the finetuning includes the new scene multi-view detection loss, the synthetic multi-view detection loss, and the discriminator classification loss. In experiments, the model's cross-scene multiview detection performance is promising compared to the previous methods trained on the same single scenes, which can extend the multi-view people detection to more general application scenarios.

Experiments and Results

In this section, we first introduce the datasets used in the experiments and then present the experiment settings, including the comparison methods, the implementation details, and evaluation metrics. Finally, we show and compare the experiment results, including the multi-view people detection performance on various datasets and the ablation study on the proposed view-wise contribution weighting module.

Datasets

We introduce 4 datasets used in the multi-view people detection, including CVCS (Zhang, Lin, and Chan 2021), CityStreet (Zhang and Chan 2019), Wildtrack (Chavdarova et al. 2018) and MultiviewX (Hou, Zheng, and Gould 2020), among which the latter 2 datasets are relatively smaller in the scene size (see dataset comparison in Table 1). CVCS is a synthetic multi-view people dataset, containing 31 scenes, where 23 are for training and the rest 8 for testing. The scene size varies from about 10m * 20m to 90m * 80m. Each scene contains 100 multi-view frames. The ground plane map resolution is 900×800 , where each grid stands for 0.1 meter in the real world. In the training, 5 views are randomly selected for 5 times in each iteration per frame of each scene, and the same view number is randomly selected for 21 times in evaluation. CityStreet is a real-world city scene dataset collected around the intersection of a crowded street. The scene size of the dataset is around $58m \times 72m$. The ground plane map resolution is 320×384 . Wildtrack is a real-world dataset recorded on the square of a university campus. The ground plane map resolution 120×360 , where each grid stands for 0.1m in the real world. MultiviewX is a synthetic dataset for multi-view people detection. The ground plane map resolution is 250×160 , where each grid also stands for 0.1m in the real world.

Compared to Wildtrack and MultiviewX, CVCS and CityStreet contain more scenes, more camera views and more images, which are more suitable for validating multi-view people detection tasks in more practical environments. Thus, unlike other methods, we mainly evaluate on larger datasets CVCS and CityStreet.



Figure 5: The result visualization of the method: camera view input, single-view prediction, view weight map and the corresponding ground-truth and prediction results.

Backbone	Method	MODA	MODP	P.	R.	F1.
ResNet	With	textbf46.2	textbf78.4	81.2	59.1	68.4
	Without	36.6	71.0	79.4	49.4	60.9
VGG	With	39.9	71.9	85.7	47.9	61.5
	Without	38.1	77.1	86.3	45.3	59.4

Table 3: The ablation study on whether the proposed supervised view-wise contribution weighted fusion is used or not (with/without) on CVCS dataset.

Experiment settings

Comparison methods. We compare the proposed viewwise contribution weighting method with several state-ofthe-art multi-view people detection methods: MVDet (EC-CV 2020) (Hou, Zheng, and Gould 2020), SHOT (ICCV 2021) (Song et al. 2021), MVDeTr (ACM MM 2021) (Hou and Zheng 2021), and 3DROM (ECCV 2022) (Qiu et al. 2022). We run these four latest multi-view people detection methods on large multi-view people datasets CVCS and CityStreet, using the code implemented by the corresponding paper authors. We also compare with other methods, such as RCNN (Xu et al. 2016), POM-CNN (Fleuret et al. 2007), DeepMCD (Chavdarova and Fleuret 2017), DeepOcc. (Baqué, Fleuret, and Fua 2017), and Volumetric (Iskakov et al. 2019), on Wildtrack and MultiviewX.

Implementation details. The proposed model is based on ResNet/VGG backbone. For model setting, the layer setting of feature extraction and decoders for projected single-view decoding and multi-view decoding can be found in the supplemental. For the view-wise contribution weighted fusion, the single-view predictions are fed into a 4-layer subnet: $[3\times3\times1\times256, 3\times3\times256\times256, 3\times3\times256\times128, 3\times3\times128\times1]$. The map classification threshold is 0.4 for all datasets, and the distance threshold is 1m (5 pixels) on CVCS, 2m (20 pixels) on CityStreet, and 0.5m (5 pixels) on MultiviewX and Wildtrack. As to the model training, a 3-stage training is used: First, the 2D counting task is trained as the pretraining for the feature extraction subnet; Then, the projected singleview decoding subnet is trained after loading the pretrained

Dataset		CVCS							CityStreet					
Method	MODA	MODP	Precision	Recall	F1_score	Rank	MODA	MODP	Precision	Recall	F1_score	Rank	Avg. Rank	
MVDet	36.6	71.0	79.4	49.4	60.9	4	44.6	65.7	79.8	59.8	68.4	5	4.5	
SHOT	45.0	77.4	83.6	55.9	67.0	2	53.5	72.4	91.0	59.4	71.8	4	3	
MVDeTr	39.8	84.1	95.3	44.9	61.0	3	58.3	74.1	92.8	63.2	75.2	3	3	
3DROM	33.9	73.9	79.5	42.2	55.1	5	60.0	70.1	82.5	76.2	79.2	1	3	
Ours	46.2	78.4	81.2	59.1	68.4	1	55.0	70.0	81.4	71.2	76.0	2	1.5	

Table 2: Comparison of the multi-view people detection performance on the larger datasets CVCS and CityStreet using 5 metrics. The distance threshold is 1m on CVCS (5 pixels on the ground plane map), and 2m on CityStreet (20 pixels on the ground plane map). Overall, all previous methods do not perform well on the 2 large datasets compared to Wildtrack and MultiviewX (see in Table 6). The proposed method ranks the best among all methods according to the average rank on the 2 datasets.

feature extraction subnet; Finally, the projected single-view decoding subnet and the multi-view decoding subnet are trained together, where the loss term weight $\lambda = 1$. We follow other training settings as in MVDet.

Evaluation metrics. We use 5 metrics to evaluate and compare the multi-view people detection methods: Multiple Object Detection Accuracy (MODA), Multiple Object Detection Precision (MODP), Precision, Recall and F1_score. We calculate true positive (TP), false positive (FP), and false negative (FN) first to compute the metrics. MODA =1 - (FP + FN)/(TP + FN), shows the detection accuracy. $MODP = (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - d[d < t]/t))/TP$, shows the precision of detection, where d is the distance from a detected person point to its ground truth and t is the distance threshold. Precion = TP/(FP + TP), Recall = TP/(TP + FN),and $F1_score = 2Precion * Recall/(Precion + Recall)$, where F1_score is a balance of Precion and Recall for detection performance evaluation. Additionally, the Rank and the average rank (Avg. Rank) of each method's performance on CVCS and CityStreet are also presented to compare different methods' overall performance.

Experiment results

We show the performance on CVCS and CityStreet in Table 2. Overall, compared to results on Wildtrack and MultiviewX (see in Table 6), the performance on large scenes, CVCS and CityStreet, is much lower. On CVCS, compared with all other methods, our proposed method achieves the best performance. The proposed method shares the same backbone model with the MVDet method (Hou, Zheng, and Gould 2020), but our overall performance is better than MVDet, which shows the effectiveness of the proposed method. SHOT uses an extra multi-height projection and works well when calibration errors of the dataset are relatively small as in CVCS, and it performs much worse on CityStreet due to CityStreet having larger calibration errors, causing extra difficulties for the multi-height fusion. 3DROM is better than our method on CityStreet because it is a data augmentation method that deals with the data lacking issue better. But 3DROM works badly on CVCS because CVCS is already a very large dataset containing various camera and scene variations. On CityStreet, the proposed method (using VGG as the backbone) also achieves the second-best performance according to F1_score metric,

Dataset	Method	MODA	MODP	P.	R.	F1.
CVCS	Supervised	46.2	78.4	81.2	59.1	68.4
CVCS	Unsupervised	45.8	73.6	86.7	54.1	66.63
CityStreet	Supervised	55.0	70.0	81.4	71.2	76.0
CityStreet	Unsupervised	49.5	67.1	78.3	68.5	73.1

Table 4: The ablation study on whether the view-wise contribution weighted fusion is supervised or unsupervised.

Num.	MODA	MODP	Precision	Recall	F1_score
3	37.1	73.4	70.7	62.1	66.1
5	46.2	78.4	81.2	59.1	68.4
7	50.5	76.6	90.1	56.8	69.7
9	50.3	78.3	92.5	54.7	68.8

Table 5: The ablation study on the variable testing camera number (3, 5, 7, 9) of the proposed method on the CVCS dataset, which is trained on 5 camera views.

which is better than SHOT (Song et al. 2021), MVDeTr (Hou and Zheng 2021) and MVDet (Hou, Zheng, and Gould 2020). In addition, MVDeTr utilizes deformable transformer modules, which are relatively easy to learn on small datasets. However, on large datasets like CVCS with a high number of camera views that keep changing during training, it has d-ifficulty stabilizing the weight learning process, limiting its detection performance

Overall, the proposed supervised view-wise contribution weighting method achieves the best average rank (Avg. Rank) among all methods. The reason is the view-wise ground-plane supervision provides more clues for the people locations of each view, and thus the multi-view fusion performance is more stable and better than other methods. We also show the visualization result on CVCS dataset in Figure 5, where the first 3 rows are the multi-view inputs, the proposed method' single-view predictions, and the view weight maps, indicating accurate people ground locations.

Ablation study

With/without the supervised view-wise contribution weighted fusion. The first ablation study is on the effectiveness of the proposed supervised view-wise contribution weighted fusion. As shown in Table 3, no matter which backbone is used, the model with the supervised view-wise

Dataset			Wildtrack				1	Multiview	X	
Method	MODA	MODP	Precision	Recall	F1_score	MODA	MODP	Precision	Recall	F1_score
RCNN (Xu et al. 2016)	11.3	18.4	68	43	52.7	18.7	46.4	63.5	43.9	51.9
POM-CNN (Fleuret et al. 2007)	23.2	30.5	75	55	63.5	-	-	-	-	-
DeepMCD (Chavdarova and Fleuret 2017)	67.8	64.2	85	82	83.5	70.0	73.0	85.7	83.3	84.5
DeepOcc. (Baqué, Fleuret, and Fua 2017)	74.1	53.8	95	80	86.9	75.2	54.7	97.8	80.2	88.1
Volumetric (Iskakov et al. 2019)	88.6	73.8	95.3	93.2	94.2	84.2	80.3	97.5	86.4	91.6
MVDet (Hou, Zheng, and Gould 2020)	88.2	75.7	94.7	93.6	94.1	83.9	79.6	96.8	86.7	91.5
SHOT (Song et al. 2021)	90.2	76.5	96.1	94.0	95.0	88.3	82.0	96.6	91.5	94.0
MVDeTr (Hou and Zheng 2021)	91.5	82.1	97.4	94.0	95.7	93.7	91.3	99.5	94.2	97.8
3DROM (Qiu et al. 2022)	93.5	75.9	97.2	96.2	96.7	95.0	84.9	99.0	96.1	97.5
Ours(ft)	73.9	72.4	86.8	87.2	87.0	81.1	77.2	95.0	85.6	90.1
Ours(ft+da)	78.9	73.6	88.7	90.4	89.5	83.8	76.5	97.1	86.4	91.4

Table 6: Comparison of the multi-view people detection performance on Wildtrack and MultiviewX using 5 metrics. All comparison methods train and test on Wildtrack or MultiviewX (single scene), while ours (cross scene) are trained on CVCS and tested on Wildtrack or MultiviewX ('Ours(ft)') or with the domain adaptation technique ('Ours(ft+da)').

contribution weighted fusion achieves better overall performance than the model without using it, which demonstrates the proposed approach's effectiveness.

Supervised/unsupervised view-wise contribution weighted fusion. The second ablation study is on whether the view-wise contribution weighted fusion module is supervised or unsupervised. As shown in Table 4, on both CVCS and CityStreet datasets, the supervised view-wise contribution weighted fusion achieves better results than the unsupervised one. The reason is the supervised one provides extra guidance for each view and it's beneficial for better multi-view fusion results. Note that the supervision for each view is obtained from the scene-level ground-truth, and no extra labeling efforts are required.

Variable camera number. The fourth ablation study is on the variable camera number in the testing stage. To generalize the model to novel new scenes requires that the model can be applied to variable camera view number inputs, because real testing scenes may contain different numbers of camera views. The proposed method is trained on 5camera-view inputs on CVCS dataset (Zhang, Lin, and Chan 2021) while tested on variable camera view number inputs, namely 3, 5, 7, and 9. Note that the ground-truth for each testing setting is the people captured by the variable camera views. As shown in Table 5, while the camera view number is increased from 3 to 9, the MODA, MODP, and Precision metrics are also generally increasing, while the Recall metric is decreasing. The reason is, that when the camera view number is increased, the model can detect more TP cases with higher accuracy. But increasing the camera view number also means more people need to be detected (groundtruth people number increases), which causes more FN cases, too, and thus the Recall metric decreases. But overall, the F1_score is stable (decreases a little), which shows the model is relatively stable across camera view number changes.

Generalization to new scenes. We show the cross-scene performance of the proposed method on Wildtrack and MultiviewX in Table 6, which is trained on the large dataset CVCS. We first finetuning the trained model on Wildtrack and MultiviewX by using 5% of the new scene training set images ('Ours(ft)'), then use a domain adaptation ap-

proach (Tzeng et al. 2017) to reduce the domain gap between source and target scenes and further improve the performance ('Ours(ft+da)'). From Table 6, 'Ours(ft)' already outperforms 4 comparison methods which use 100% training set data and tested on the same single scene: RCNN (Xu et al. 2016), POM-CNN (Fleuret et al. 2007), DeepMCD (Chavdarova and Fleuret 2017), DeepOcc. (Baqué, Fleuret, and Fua 2017). With the domain adaptation approach, the target and source domain gap is reduced and the cross-scene performance is further improved on both datasets. On MultiviewX (larger crowd number than Wildtrack), 'Ours(ft+da)' achieves close performance to the state-of-the-art methods MVDet (Hou, Zheng, and Gould 2020) and Volumetric (Iskakov et al. 2019). Compared to the rest methods, the proposed method's cross-scene performance with unsupervised domain adaptation ('Ours(ft+da)') is relatively worse, but considering that our method uses only 5% of the testing scenes labels, it can achieve very close to the performance of other state-of-the-art methods using 100% training set data and testing on the same single scenario, the proposed method's result is still promising.

Discussion and Conclusion

In this paper, we present a novel supervised view-wise contribution weighting approach for multi-view people detection in large scenes. We evaluate its performance on large multi-view datasets, which is a departure from the typical approach of using small single-scene datasets. We have demonstrated that our proposed method performs better on larger and more complicated scenes, and achieves promising cross-scene multi-view people detection performance compared with existing state-of-the-art techniques trained on single scenes. To our knowledge, this is the first study on the large-scene multi-view people detection task. Our proposed method extends the applicability of multi-view people detection to more practical scenarios, making it a valuable tool for various applications in the fields of computer vision, surveillance, and security. Limitations: The adopted domain transferring method is simple but limited by the image style transferring a lot, and a stronger domain transferring module could be our future work.

Ethical Statement

We use four datasets CVCS, CityStreet, MultiviewX, and Wildtrack in the experiments, among which CVCS and MultiviewX are synthetic datasets and the rest 2 are public real-scene datasets.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in parts by NSFC (62202312, 62161146005, U21B2023, U2001206), DEGP Innovation Team (2022KCXTD025), CityU Strategic Research Grant (7005665), and Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (KQTD20210811090044003, RCJC20200714114435012, JCYJ20210324120213036).

References

Baqué, P.; Fleuret, F.; and Fua, P. 2017. Deep occlusion reasoning for multi-camera multi-target detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, 271–279.

Chan, A. B.; and Vasconcelos, N. 2012. Counting people with low-level features and Bayesian regression. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 21(4): 2160–2177.

Chavdarova, T.; Baqué, P.; Bouquet, S.; Maksai, A.; Jose, C.; Bagautdinov, T.; Lettry, L.; Fua, P.; Van Gool, L.; and Fleuret, F. 2018. WILDTRACK: A Multi-camera HD Dataset for Dense Unscripted Pedestrian Detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 5030–5039.

Chavdarova, T.; and Fleuret, F. 2017. Deep multi-camera people detection. In 2017 16th IEEE international conference on machine learning and applications (ICMLA), 848–853. IEEE.

Chen, K.; Chen, L. C.; Gong, S.; and Xiang, T. 2012. Feature mining for localised crowd counting. In *BMVC*.

Cheng, Z.-Q.; Dai, Q.; Li, H.; Song, J.; Wu, X.; and Hauptmann, A. G. 2022. Rethinking spatial invariance of convolutional networks for object counting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 19638–19648.

Cheng, Z.-Q.; Li, J.-X.; Dai, Q.; Wu, X.; and Hauptmann, A. G. 2019a. Learning spatial awareness to improve crowd counting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 6152–6161.

Cheng, Z.-Q.; Li, J.-X.; Dai, Q.; Wu, X.; He, J.-Y.; and Hauptmann, A. G. 2019b. Improving the Learning of Multicolumn Convolutional Neural Network for Crowd Counting. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 1897–1906.

Fleuret, F.; Berclaz, J.; Lengagne, R.; and Fua, P. 2007. Multicamera people tracking with a probabilistic occupancy map. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 30(2): 267–282.

Gall, J.; Yao, A.; Razavi, N.; Van Gool, L.; and Lempitsky, V. 2011. Hough forests for object detection, tracking, and action recognition. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 33(11): 2188–2202.

He, K.; Zhang, X.; Ren, S.; and Sun, J. 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 770–778.

Hou, Y.; and Zheng, L. 2021. Multiview detection with shadow transformer (and view-coherent data augmentation). In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 1673–1682.

Hou, Y.; Zheng, L.; and Gould, S. 2020. Multiview detection with feature perspective transformation. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part VII 16*, 1–18. Springer.

Huang, S.; Li, X.; Cheng, Z.-Q.; Zhang, Z.; and Hauptmann, A. 2020. Stacked pooling for boosting scale invariance of crowd counting. In *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2578–2582. IEEE.

Iguernaissi, R.; Merad, D.; Aziz, K.; and Drap, P. 2019. People tracking in multi-camera systems: a review. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 78: 10773–10793.

Iskakov, K.; Burkov, E.; Lempitsky, V.; and Malkov, Y. 2019. Learnable Triangulation of Human Pose. In *ICCV*.

Jaderberg, M.; Simonyan, K.; Zisserman, A.; et al. 2015. Spatial transformer networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2017–2025.

Joachims, T. 1998. Text categorization with support vector machines: Learning with many relevant features. In *European conference on machine learning*, 137–142. Springer.

Lempitsky, V.; and Zisserman, A. 2010. Learning to count objects in images. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 1324–1332.

Marana, A.; Costa, L. d. F.; Lotufo, R.; and Velastin, S. 1998. On the efficacy of texture analysis for crowd monitoring. In *International Symposium on Computer Graphics, Image Processing, and Vision*, 354–361. IEEE.

Nguyen, D. M.; Henschel, R.; Rosenhahn, B.; Sonntag, D.; and Swoboda, P. 2022. LMGP: Lifted Multicut Meets Geometry Projections for Multi-Camera Multi-Object Tracking. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 8866–8875.

Paragios, N.; and Ramesh, V. 2001. A MRF-based approach for real-time subway monitoring. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, volume 1. IEEE.

Patino, L.; and Ferryman, J. 2014. Multicamera trajectory analysis for semantic behaviour characterisation. In 2014 11th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Video and Signal Based Surveillance (AVSS), 369–374. IEEE.

Pham, V.-Q.; Kozakaya, T.; Yamaguchi, O.; and Okada, R. 2015. Count forest: Co-voting uncertain number of targets using random forest for crowd density estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, 3253–3261.

Qiu, R.; Xu, M.; Yan, Y.; Smith, J. S.; and Yang, X. 2022. 3D Random Occlusion and Multi-layer Projection

for Deep Multi-camera Pedestrian Localization. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2022: 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part X*, 695–710. Springer.

Sabzmeydani, P.; and Mori, G. 2007. Detecting pedestrians by learning shapelet features. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 1–8. IEEE.

Simonyan, K.; and Zisserman, A. 2014. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1409.1556.

Song, L.; Wu, J.; Yang, M.; Zhang, Q.; Li, Y.; and Yuan, J. 2021. Stacked homography transformations for multi-view pedestrian detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 6049–6057.

Taj, M.; and Cavallaro, A. 2011. Distributed and decentralized multicamera tracking. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 28(3): 46–58.

Tzeng, E.; Hoffman, J.; Saenko, K.; and Darrell, T. 2017. Adversarial discriminative domain adaptation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 7167–7176.

Viola, P.; and Jones, M. J. 2004. Robust real-time face detection. *International journal of computer vision*, 57(2): 137– 154.

Viola, P.; Jones, M. J.; and Snow, D. 2005. Detecting pedestrians using patterns of motion and appearance. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 63(2): 153–161.

Wang, Y.; and Zou, Y. 2016. Fast visual object counting via example-based density estimation. In *IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*, 3653–3657. IEEE.

Wu, B.; and Nevatia, R. 2007. Detection and tracking of multiple, partially occluded humans by bayesian combination of edgelet based part detectors. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 75(2): 247–266.

Xu, B.; and Qiu, G. 2016. Crowd density estimation based on rich features and random projection forest. In *IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, 1–8. IEEE.

Xu, Y.; Liu, X.; Liu, Y.; and Zhu, S. C. 2016. Multi-view People Tracking via Hierarchical Trajectory Composition. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 4256–4265.

Yang, S.; Ding, F.; Li, P.; and Hu, S. 2022. Distributed multicamera multi-target association for real-time tracking. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1): 11052.

You, Q.; and Jiang, H. 2020. Real-time 3d deep multicamera tracking. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.11753*.

Zhai, Q.; Yang, F.; Li, X.; Xie, G.-S.; Cheng, H.; and Liu, Z. 2022. Co-Communication Graph Convolutional Network for Multi-View Crowd Counting. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*.

Zhang, J.; Cheng, Z.-Q.; Wu, X.; Li, W.; and Qiao, J.-J. 2022. Crossnet: Boosting crowd counting with localization. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 6436–6444.

Zhang, Q.; and Chan, A. B. 2019. Wide-Area Crowd Counting via Ground-Plane Density Maps and Multi-View Fusion CNNs. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 8297–8306.

Zhang, Q.; and Chan, A. B. 2020. 3D Crowd Counting via Multi-View Fusion with 3D Gaussian Kernels. In AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence.

Zhang, Q.; and Chan, A. B. 2022a. 3D Crowd Counting via Geometric Attention-Guided Multi-view Fusion. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 130(12): 3123–3139.

Zhang, Q.; and Chan, A. B. 2022b. Wide-area crowd counting: Multi-view fusion networks for counting in large scenes. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 130(8): 1938–1960.

Zhang, Q.; Lin, W.; and Chan, A. B. 2021. Cross-View Cross-Scene Multi-View Crowd Counting. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 557–567.

Zheng, L.; Li, Y.; and Mu, Y. 2021. Learning Factorized Cross-View Fusion for Multi-View Crowd Counting. In 2021 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME), 1–6. IEEE.

Zhu, X.; Su, W.; Lu, L.; Li, B.; Wang, X.; and Dai, J. 2020. Deformable detr: Deformable transformers for end-to-end object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.04159*.